

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,810	10/30/2001	Frank Reidy	89751.139601	9158
7590 07/01/2004			EXAMINER	
JAECKLE FLEISCHMANN & MUGEL, LLP			GREEN, BRIAN	
39 State Street Rochester, NY 14614-1310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s)	
10/017,810	REIDY, FRANK
Examiner	Art Unit
Brian K. Green	3611

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

inal re conditi	fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛚	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ee have ee und 2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 June 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.🛛	The drawing correction filed on <u>08 June 2004</u> is a)⊠ approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.[]	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	Other: Brian K. Then
	BRIANK GREEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Stating in claim 1 that the tag "consisting of " a one-piece rigid substrate body and adding the phrase "the one-piece rigid substrate body having" in three locations in claim 1 are considered to raise new issues that would require further consideration. In claim 5 adding the phrase "consisting of " and adding the phrase "the one-piece rigid substrate body tag having" in three locations in claim 5 are considered to raise new issues that would require further consideration.